New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 53-02-02-06-0000

Name: Scotia-Glenville Central School District

Superintendent: Michael J. Marcelle

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	182	189	159
First	227	196	193
Second	207	210	180
Third	198	212	218
Fourth	253	200	217
Fifth	242	247	212
Sixth	264	249	244
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	255	280	255
Eighth	247	254	279
Ninth	270	269	264
Tenth	260	226	225
Eleventh	238	228	216
Twelfth	195	251	243
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	47
Total K-12 Enrollment	3038	3011	2952

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001–02 2002–03 2003–04		3–04		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	56	1.8%	41	1.4%	38	1.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	38	1.3%	34	1.1%	36	1.2%
Hispanic	35	1.2%	26	0.9%	43	1.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	2909	95.8%	2910	96.6%	2835	96.0%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	12	11	9						
Common Branch	21	20	20						
English Grade 8	26	26	27						
Mathematics Grade 8	28	27	27						
Science Grade 8	26	29	27						
Social Studies Grade 8	25	27	28						
English Grade 10	22	22	22						
Mathematics Grade 10	19	23	24						
Science Grade 10	20	21	22						
Social Studies Grade 10	23	24	26						

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

~ .	2001-02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	22	0.7%	17	0.6%	15	0.5%
Eligible for Free Lunch	191	6.7%	186	6.6%	227	8.1%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		200	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		92.9%		93.1%		94.3%
Student Suspensions	80	2.6%	61	2.0%	95	3.2%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	5.3%	5.6%	4.8%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	220
Total Other Professional Staff	43
Total Paraprofessionals	58
Teaching Out of Certification*	5

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	207	152	73%	212	156	74%	150	149	99%	
Students with Disabilities	11	2	18%	3	1	33%	6	6	100%	
All Students	218	154	71%	215	157	73%	156	155	99%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	98	51	1	1	3	2
Percent	63%	33%	1%	1%	2%	1%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
6	6	29	35

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	200	1–02	200	2-03	2003	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	Students	EHIOH.	13	Elifon.	25	2.9%
		0		_		_	
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		8		12	1.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0		21		37	4.3%
Students	Dropped Out	0		3		2	1.3%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		3		2	1.3%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		6		4	2.7%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	16	1.6%	27	2.7%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.1%	11	1.1%	14	1.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1	0.1%	27	2.8%	41	4.1%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	216	223	684
(0	Number of Students with Disabilities	31	31	94
6–8	Number of All Students	247	254	778
	Percent of Enrollment	32%	32%	98%
	Number of General-Education Students	857	860	906
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	106	114	134
9–12	Number of All Students	963	974	1040
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	106%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Brogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	145		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	145	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	145	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	145	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	145		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	145	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	4	#	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	16	31%	18	83%	13	46%
Science	8	13%	5	20%	10	40%
Reading	8	25%	4	#	15	60%
Writing	10	30%	5	40%	16	81%
Global Studies	25	40%	17	53%	1	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	1	#	9	44%

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$

	110801100	LAaiiii		1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	236	216	212	16	25	18
Number Scoring 55–100	224	210	204	13	22	14
Number Scoring 65–100	202	192	196	7	15	12
Number Scoring 85–100	112	84	110	3	1	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	97%	96%	81%	88%	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	89%	92%	44%	60%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	47%	39%	52%	19%	4%	17%
	M	athematics A	•	•	•	•
Number Tested	277	248	258	32	27	27
Number Scoring 55–100	240	222	251	18	20	23
Number Scoring 65–100	196	203	229	11	10	20
Number Scoring 85–100	65	84	110	1	2	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	87%	90%	97%	56%	74%	85%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	82%	89%	34%	37%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	34%	43%	3%	7%	4%
Toroning of Toroni South South		athematics B	.570	270	,,,	.,,
Number Tested	14	109	118	0	2	2
Number Scoring 55–100	14	106	114	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	14	99	106	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	13	26	45	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	97%	97%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	91%	90%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	93%	24%	38%	0%	#	#
1 ordering of 1 obtained 5 of 100		story and Geo		0,0		
Number Tested	244	228	258	33	22	29
Number Scoring 55–100	224	211	234	26	18	23
Number Scoring 65–100	199	190	203	15	13	17
Number Scoring 85–100	62	83	84	0	3	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	93%	91%	79%	82%	79%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	83%	79%	45%	59%	59%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	36%	33%	0%	14%	10%
1 ordenius or 1 optical poorting of 100		ory and Gover		0,0	11,0	1070
Number Tested	246	230	210	13	24	20
Number Scoring 55–100	232	225	199	13	22	16
Number Scoring 65–100	200	221	189	10	22	11
Number Scoring 85–100	87	116	116	1	6	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	98%	95%	100%	92%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	96%	90%	77%	92%	55%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	50%	55%	8%	25%	15%

(Form - F)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	0	291	246	0	23	20
Number Scoring 55–100	0	286	245	0	23	20
Number Scoring 65–100	0	269	231	0	20	16
Number Scoring 85–100	0	101	86	0	4	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	98%	100%	0%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	92%	94%	0%	87%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	35%	35%	0%	17%	10%
	Physical S	etting/Earth (Science			
Number Tested	168	148	220	12	9	14
Number Scoring 55–100	158	148	209	10	9	12
Number Scoring 65–100	155	146	189	9	9	8
Number Scoring 85–100	77	84	72	3	2	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	100%	95%	83%	100%	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	99%	86%	75%	100%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	46%	57%	33%	25%	22%	21%
		Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	137	145	140	0	4	3
Number Scoring 55–100	131	141	137	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	120	126	119	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	21	25	23	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	97%	98%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	87%	85%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	17%	16%	0%	#	#
	Physica	l Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			85			1
Number Scoring 55–100			83			#
Number Scoring 65–100			76			#
Number Scoring 85–100			31			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			98%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			89%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			36%	4 11	41 D	#

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Kegents	CXaiiii	mauons			
		All Students	3	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Comp	rehensive Fre	nch			
Number Tested	35	30	34	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	34	29	34	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	31	29	34	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	9	15	16	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	97%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	97%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	50%	47%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	48	0	0	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	47	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	45	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	21	0	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	98%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	94%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	44%	0%	0%	#	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa				
Number Tested	77	66	65	1	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	76	66	65	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	76	66	64	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	40	40	38	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	100%	98%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	52%	61%	58%	#	#	0%
		rehensive La			1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	January 2004)		
Number Tested	135	22	17	3	0	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	128	22	9	#	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	119	16	5	#	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	70	4	0	#	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	100%	53%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	73%	29%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	52%	18%	0%	#	0%	#	

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	No. Tested % Passing		2002	2-03	2003–04		
			No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	114	92%	28	93%	8	100%	
Students with Disabilities	19	84%	2	#	1	#	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	165	0%	1%	56%	44%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	50	12%	8%	68%	12%
	All Students	215	3%	2%	59%	36%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	244	1%	27%	58%	14%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	43	12%	74%	14%	0%
	All Students	287	2%	34%	51%	12%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	2	0	#	#	#	#			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	195	195	195	39	39	39	234	234	234
Number Scoring 55–64	8	4	3	10	2	1	18	6	4
Number Scoring 65–84	119	68	72	16	16	18	135	84	90
Number Scoring 85–100	63	112	117	0	6	4	63	118	121
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Students with Disabilities								
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
Reading and Writing (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	l)						
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>5)</u>						
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)		
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
, , ,	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)		
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
, , ,	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			5			1
Beginning (0–18)			0			#
Intermediate (19–31)			0			#
Advanced (32–36)			2			#
Proficient (37–39)			3			#
,	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)		•
Number Tested			5			1
Beginning (0–14)			0			#
Intermediate (15–24)			2			#
Advanced (25–32)			3			#
Proficient (33–35)			0			#

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)